

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/086,915	KNAUERHASE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Stephan F. Willett	2142

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephan F. Willett.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Aslam Jaffrey.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Attached.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. Jaffrey and explained the claims did not specify what element met the threshold condition, and that if a further limitation was made to indicate what element was required to meet the threshold condition, and this was supported by the specification, the claims might be allowable based on my search.